



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/807,949 | 03/24/2004 | Tong Zhang | 200300641-1 | 4075 |
| <div>22879 7590 02/19/2010 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528</div> | | | | |
| <div>EXAMINER PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V</div> | | | | |
| <div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2624</div> | | | | |
| <div>NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE 02/19/2010 ELECTRONIC</div> | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

laura.m.clark@hp.com

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/807,949 | ZHANG, TONG | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR | 2624 | |

All Participants:

(1) SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR.

(2) J. Michael Johnson (Reg. No. 37,856).

Date of Interview: 12 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sath V Perungavoor/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Status of Application: Appeal Brief filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that (1) claim 23 would be allowable if the limitations of claims 24, 32 and 33 were included and (2) claim 36 would be allowable if the limitations of claims 45, 46 and 47 were included. Applicant's representative did not agree. Hence, any statements/suggestions/comments made by the Examiner is no longer relevant/moot/withdrawn and the Examiner's Answer will override all statements/suggestions/comments made by the Examiner during the interviews. Applicant should proceed based on the Examiner's Answer ONLY.